

# CS 260 – Privacy Seminar <a href="https://spalab.cs.ucr.edu/teaching/cs260">https://spalab.cs.ucr.edu/teaching/cs260</a>

Emiliano De Cristofaro https://emilianodc.com

### **Course Objectives**

1. Learn the "basics" of privacy (and privacy technologies)

Its connection to security Its societal, ethical, and legal aspects Its relevance to engineering

 Expose you to advanced research in CS and privacy in particular How to find, read, understand, and explain research papers Hands-on work on research projects

### Think as an attacker

- One can't secure a system without being aware of ways to break it...
  - "You can't make something secure if you don't know how to break it." (Marc Weber Tobias)
- Schneier's "Law":
  - "Any person can invent a security system so clever that he or she can't imagine a way of breaking it."
  - https://www.schneier.com/blog/archives/2008/03/the\_security\_mi\_1.html

#### Caveat emptor!

- The only reason we will be learning about attack techniques is to build better defenses
- That is, don't use this knowledge to perform attacks on real systems!!!

# Enrolling

- Need explicit approval from me
- Pre-Requisites: Undergraduate or Graduate Security Class at UCR – not negotiable
- Not accepted past the 2<sup>nd</sup> week

### **Ethics & Law**

- Malicious hacking/cracking is illegal
- Discussing vulnerabilities/how they are exploited is useful
  - E.g., for education, awareness, ...
- Full disclosure policy
  - The information about vulnerability has been already distributed to parties that may provide a solution to the problem (e.g., vendors)
  - See: Responsible vulnerability disclosure process (IETF Internet Draft)
  - Preventing similar mistakes from being repeated

### **Academic Conduct**

- High standards expected in academic conduct:
  - Regulations on how to avoid plagiarism
  - Reference and credit sources appropriately
  - University of California Electronic Communications Policy

- High standards expected in professional conduct:
  - State and federal laws
  - Procedures for research with human subjects
  - Responsible research and disclosure procedures
  - Compliance and risk-based assessments

### Welcome!

- Timetable
  - 10 lectures, <del>Mon 3:30 4:50pm WCH 142</del> → pre-recorded lectures
  - − 10 classes, Wed 3:30-4:50pm WCH 142 → mandatory attendance
- Grading
  - 50% Project
  - 25% Class Discussions
  - 25% Quizzes/Class Attendance/Class Participation
- Office Hours (TBC)
  - Mon 3:30-4:30 pm, in-person or on Zoom
    Please book a slot: <u>https://calendly.com/emilianodc/cs260</u>

### Communication

- Piazza (<u>https://piazza.com/ucr/spring2024/cs260</u>) as the main communication channel
  - Announcements, slides, projects, polls, etc.
  - Discussion and Q&A

# privacydabest

### **Tentative Schedule**

	Monday	Wednesday
Week 1	Intro to Privacy	Overview of the Projects
Week 2	Anonymity	Surveillance
Week 3	Privacy-oriented Crypto	Crypto Case Studies
Week 4	Differential Privacy (DP)	DP Case Studies
Week 5	Privacy in Machine Learning	Privacy and LLMs
Week 6	Tracking and Profiling	Tracking Case Studies
Week 7	Human Factors	Human Factor Case Studies
Week 8	Privacy and Cybersafety	Privacy and Law
Week 9	[Memorial Day]	Privacy by Design
Week 10	Project Presentations	

#### Lectures

- Pre-recorded lectures, I will be presenting various topics
  - No midterm/exam but quizzes possibly
  - Expected Q&A discussion on Piazza (part of class participation)

### **Discussions**

- Classes based on topics published in 1-3 research papers
- Each class will have an interactive discussion (no presentations)
  - A group of students will lead the discussion
  - All students have to do that at some point
- Papers need to be read by everyone, <u>before</u> class
  - Not just by the group leading
  - There will be quizzes
- Discussion: everyone should be involved
  - Not just by the group leading it
  - Remind: class participation counts for 25% of the grade

# How to lead discussion (1)

#### >High-level discussion points:

- What are things that you like and dislike about the paper?
- Why is this a good or bad paper?
- What assumptions (explicit and implicit) are made, and are they valid?
- How you might do it differently? Any other suggestions to improve the paper?
- What principles can you extract from the paper?
- From the insights described in the paper, how might you apply them to solve other problems?

#### Low-level discussion points:

- Frame them as questions for the rest of the class to respond to
- Aim to engage students in critical and creative thinking

# Project

- You can work in groups of 2-3 students (non-negotiable)
  - The amount of expected individual work is an invariant
  - Each student will have to submit an *individual* project report

• Details on Wednesday